What is claimed is:

3

4

5

6 7

8

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

18

19

1	1.	A method of determining a placement of services of a distributed application
2		onto nodes of a distributed resource infrastructure comprising the steps of:

- a. forming communication constraints between node pairs which ensure that a sum of transport demands between a particular node pair does not exceed a transport capacity between the particular node pair, each term of the sum comprising a product of a first placement variable, a second placement variable, and the transport demand between the services associated with the first and second placement variables;
- 9 b. forming an objective; and
- 10 c. employing a local search solution to solve an integer program comprising
 11 the communication constraints and the objective, which determines the
 12 placement of the services onto the nodes.
- A method of determining a placement of services of a distributed application
 onto nodes of a distributed resource infrastructure comprising the steps of:
- a. establishing an application model of the services comprising transport
 demands between the services;
- b. establishing an infrastructure model of the nodes comprising transport
 capacities between the nodes;
 - c. forming an integer program that comprises:
 - a set of placement variables for a combination of the services and the nodes, each of the placement variables indicating whether a particular service is located on a particular node;
 - ii. communication constraints between node pairs which ensure that a sum of the transport demands between a particular node pair does not exceed the transport capacity between the particular node pair, each term of the sum comprising a product of a first placement variable, a second placement variable, and the transport demand between the services associated with the first and second placement variables; and
- 17 iii. an objective; and
 - d. employing a local search solution to solve the integer program which determines the placement of the services onto the nodes.

- 1 3. The method of claim 2 wherein the step of solving the integer program
- 2 employs a local search solution.
- 1 4. The method of claim 2 wherein the objective comprises minimizing
- 2 communication traffic between the nodes.
- 1 5. The method of claim 2 wherein the application model further comprises
- 2 processing demands for the services.
- 1 6. The method of claim 5 wherein the infrastructure model further comprises
- 2 processing capacities for the nodes.
- 1 7. The method of claim 6 wherein the integer program further comprises
- 2 processing constraints which ensure that a sum of the processing demands for
- ach of the nodes does not exceed the processing capacity for the node.
- 1 8. The method of claim 7 wherein the objective comprises minimizing
- 2 communication traffic between the nodes and balancing the processing demands
- 3 on the nodes.
- 1 9. The method of claim 6 wherein the processing demands and the processing
- 2 capacities are normalized according to a processing criterion.
- 1 10. The method of claim 9 wherein the processing criterion comprises an
- 2 algorithm speed.
- 1 11. The method of claim 9 wherein the processing criterion comprises a
- 2 transaction speed.
- 1 12. The method of claim 9 wherein the processing capacities of the nodes are
- found according to a look-up table in which different types of nodes have been
- 3 normalized according to the processing criterion.

- 1 13. The method of claim 2 wherein the application model further comprises
- 2 storage demands for the services.
- 1 14. The method of claim 13 wherein the infrastructure model further comprises
- 2 storage capacities for the nodes.
- 1 15. The method of claim 14 wherein the integer program further comprises
- 2 storage constraints which ensure that a sum of the storage demands for each of the
- 3 nodes does not exceed the storage capacity for the node.
- 1 16. The method of claim 2 wherein the integer program further comprises
- 2 placement constraints which ensure that each of the services is placed on one and
- 3 only one of the nodes.
- 1 17. The method of claim 2 wherein the services reside on the nodes according to a
- 2 previous assignment.
- 1 18. The method of claim 17 further comprising the step of assessing reassignment
- 2 penalties for service placements that differs from the previous assignment.
- 1 19. The method of claim 18 wherein the integer program further comprises a
- 2 second objective that seeks to minimize the reassignment penalties.
- 1 20. A method of determining a placement of services of a distributed application
- 2 onto nodes of a distributed resource infrastructure comprising the steps of:
- a. establishing an application model of the services that comprises processing
- 4 demands for the services, storage demands for the services, and transport
- 5 demands between the services;
- b. establishing an infrastructure model of the nodes that comprises processing
- 7 capacities for the nodes, storage capacities for the nodes, and transport
- 8 capacities between the nodes;
- 9 c. forming an integer program that comprises:
- i. a set of placement variables for a combination of the services and the
- nodes, each of the placement variables indicating whether a particular

12		service is located on a particular node;		
13	ii.	processing constraints which ensure that a sum of the processing		
14		demands for each of the nodes does not exceed the processing capacity for		
15		the node;		
16	iii.	storage constraints which ensure that a sum of the storage demands for		
17		each of the nodes does not exceed the storage capacity for the node;		
18	iv.	placement constraints which ensure that each of the services is placed		
19		on one and only one node;		
20	v.	communication constraints between node pairs which ensure that a		
21		sum of the transport demands between a particular node pair does not		
22		exceed the transport capacity between the particular node pair, each term		
23		of the sum comprising a product of a first placement variable, a second		
24		placement variable, and the transport demand between the services		
25		associated with the first and second placement variables; and		
26	vi.	an objective of minimizing communication traffic between the nodes		
27		and balancing processing loads on the nodes; and		
28	d.	employing a local search solution to solve the integer program which		
29	det	ermines the placement of the services onto the nodes.		
1	21. A c	computer readable memory comprising computer code for directing a		
2	computer to make a determination of a placement of services of a distributed			
3	application onto nodes of a distributed resource infrastructure, the determination			
4	of the placement of the services onto the nodes comprising the steps of:			
5	a.	forming communication constraints between node pairs which ensure that		
6	a s	um of transport demands between a particular node pair does not exceed a		
7	tra	nsport capacity between the particular node pair, each term of the sum		
8	coı	nprising a product of a first placement variable, a second placement		
9	var	riable, and the transport demand between the services associated with the		
10	firs	st and second placement variables;		
11	b.	forming an objective; and		
12	c.	employing a local search solution to solve an integer program comprising		
13	the	communication constraints and the objective, which determines the		
14	nla	cement of the services onto the nodes.		

- 1 22. A computer readable memory comprising computer code for directing a
- 2 computer to make a determination of a placement of services of a distributed
- application onto nodes of a distributed resource infrastructure, the determination
- of the placement of the services onto the nodes comprising the steps of:
- 5 a. establishing an application model of the services comprising transport demands between the services;
- b. establishing an infrastructure model of the nodes comprising transport
 capacities between the nodes;
- 9 c. forming an integer program that comprises:
 - i. a set of placement variables for a combination of the services and the nodes, each of the placement variables indicating whether a particular service is located on a particular node;
- ii. communication constraints between node pairs which ensure that a
 sum of the transport demands between a particular node pair does not
 exceed the transport capacity between the particular node pair, each term
 of the sum comprising a product of a first placement variable, a second
 placement variable, and the transport demand between the services
 associated with the first and second placement variables; and
- iii. an objective; and

10

11 12

- d. employing a local search solution to solve the integer program which determines the placement of the services onto the nodes.
- 1 23. The computer readable memory of claim 22 wherein the step of solving the integer program employs a local search solution.
- 1 24. The computer readable memory of claim 22 wherein the objective comprises 2 minimizing communication traffic between the nodes.
- 1 25. The computer readable memory of claim 22 wherein the application model further comprises processing demands for the services.
- 1 26. The computer readable memory of claim 25 wherein the infrastructure model further comprises processing capacities for the nodes.

- 1 27. The computer readable memory of claim 26 wherein the integer program
- 2 further comprises processing constraints ensure that a sum of the processing
- demands for each of the nodes does not exceed the processing capacity for the
- 4 node.
- 1 28. The computer readable memory of claim 27 wherein the objective comprises
- 2 balancing the processing demands on the nodes.
- 1 29. The computer readable memory of claim 26 wherein the processing demands
- 2 and the processing capacities are normalized according to a processing criterion.
- 1 30. The computer readable memory of claim 29 wherein the processing criterion
- 2 comprises an algorithm speed.
- 1 31. The computer readable memory of claim 9 wherein the processing criterion
- 2 comprises a transaction speed.
- 1 32. The computer readable memory of claim 9 wherein the processing capacities
- 2 of the nodes are found according to a look-up table in which different types of
- 3 nodes have been normalized according to the processing criterion.
- 1 33. The computer readable memory of claim 22 wherein the application model
- 2 further comprises storage demands for the services.
- 1 34. The computer readable memory of claim 33 wherein the infrastructure model
- 2 further comprises storage capacities for the nodes.
- 1 35. The computer readable memory of claim 34 wherein the integer program
- 2 further comprises storage constraints which ensure that a sum of the storage
- demands for each of the nodes does not exceed the storage capacity for the node.
- 1 36. The computer readable memory of claim 22 wherein the integer program
- 2 further comprises placement constraints which ensure that each of the services is
- 3 placed on one and only one of the nodes.

- 1 37. The computer readable memory of claim 22 wherein the services reside on the nodes according to a previous assignment.
- 1 38. The computer readable memory of claim 37 further comprising the step of
- 2 assessing reassignment penalties for service placements that differs from the
- 3 previous assignment.
- 1 39. The computer readable memory of claim 38 wherein the integer program
- 2 further comprises a second objective that seeks to minimize the reassignment
- 3 penalties.
- 1 40. A computer readable memory comprising computer code for directing a
- 2 computer to make a determination of a placement of services of a distributed
- 3 application onto nodes of a distributed resource infrastructure, the determination
- of the placement of the services onto the nodes comprising the steps of:
- 5 a. establishing an application model of the services that comprises
- 6 processing demands for the services, storage demands for the services, and
- 7 transport demands between the services;
- 8 b. establishing an infrastructure model of the nodes that comprises processing
- 9 capacities for the nodes, storage capacities for the nodes, and transport
- 10 capacities between the nodes;
- 11 c. forming an integer program that comprises:
- i. a set of placement variables for a combination of the services and the
- nodes, each of the placement variables indicating whether a particular
- service is located on a particular node;
- ii. processing constraints which ensure that a sum of the processing
- demands for each of the nodes does not exceed the processing capacity for
- the node;
- 18 iii. storage constraints which ensure that a sum of the storage demands for
- each of the nodes does not exceed the storage capacity for the node;
- 20 iv. placement constraints which ensure that each of the services is placed
- 21 on one and only one node;
- v. communication constraints between node pairs which ensure that a

23		sum of the transport demands between a particular node pair does not
24		exceed the transport capacity between the particular node pair, each term
25		of the sum comprising a product of a first placement variable, a second
26		placement variable, and the transport demand between the services
27		associated with the first and second placement variables; and
28	vi	an objective of minimizing communication traffic between the nodes
29		and balancing processing loads on the nodes; and
30	d.	employing a local search solution to solve the integer program which
31	de	etermines the placement of the services onto the nodes.